

**DISTRICT #10 PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE
JULY 20, 2011 @ 7:00PM**

AGENDA

I. Call to Order & Approval of Minutes (Mar 16, 2011)

II. BUSINESS

A) Project Updates, Requests

- 1) City of Milford, SCADA Replacement
- 2) ~~City of Franklin, Second Street Reconstruction~~
- 3) Warren County, Township Loan Project
- 4) Small Government projects - Felicity and New Vienna approved.

B) PY26/2012 Project Selection Process

- 1) Guidelines
- 2) OPWC Application Form
- 3) Application Completeness
- 4) Selection Criteria and Income Index
- 5) Deadline: Friday, September 30 , 2011

C) Job Ready Sites

- 1) Deadline for submissions of pre-application is June 17, 2011
- 2) Guidelines can be found at <http://development.ohio.gov/Business/jrs/JRSProgramInfo.htm>

D) Clean Ohio Fund

- 1) Natural Resource Assistance Council (NRAC) / Greenspace
 - a. Project Review
 - b. Application Form
- 2) Clean Ohio Revitalization Fund (CORF) / Brownfields
 - a. City of Hamilton

III. OTHER BUSINESS

A) Membership Roster and District Officers

B) CPMRA Administrative Costs

<u>Voucher #1839-08, 02/01/10 to 02/28/10</u>	=	\$ 5,480.73
Year-to-Date Total	=	\$43,998.64

IV. SCHEDULE NEXT MEETING & ADJOURN

DISTRICT #10
PUBLIC WORKS INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

March 16, 2011 @ 7:00pm
Warren County Engineer's Office

MINUTES

I. CALL TO ORDER & APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The meeting was called to order at 7:05pm by Vice Chair, Neil Tunison. The Minutes from the October 20, 2010 meeting were reviewed. A motion to approve the minutes was made by Patti Bates; Chris Wunnenberg provided a second; ***All in favor, motion passed unanimously.*** A sign-in sheet was circulated to those present and made a part thereof.

II. BUSINESS

A. PY`24/2010 Program

- 1) Loan Balance – because other loan projects have been closed-out, it was reported that a balance of \$765,599 is available. The Village of Blanchester as the highest-rated loan project has expressed interest in additional loan funds for the Sanitary Sewer Project, Phase 2. Members present reviewed an email (dated 05-Mar-2011) from Kurtis Strickland, of the Ohio Rural Community Assistance Program, which is assisting Blanchester in applying for funds from the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency and the Ohio Water Development Authority. Chris Wunnenberg moved that the Village of Blanchester project be approved for \$765,599 at zero-percent depending on the availability of the other assistance programs; Patti Bates provided a second; ***All in favor, motion passed unanimously.***
- 2) Project Scope – Members present at the meeting reviewed a letter from the City of Trenton explaining that the cost of the Ginger Ridge Storage Tank Exterior Refurbishing project has increased to \$200,000 because lead paint was discovered during inspection of the tank. After discussion, it was determined that the request needed further clarification and that the changes necessary to the project scope and the local participation/grant contribution percentages would impact the rating and ranking of the project. David Spinney moved that the City of Trenton clarify the project request and re-submit the project in the future; Scott Tadych provided a second; ***All in favor, motion passed unanimously.***

B. PY`25/2011 Program

- 1) Sub HB 114 – Correspondence from OPWC Director Michael Miller (08-Mar-2011) was reviewed and indicates that the House Finance and Appropriations Committee accepted a substitute version of HB 114 the includes the full \$150 million appropriation for the State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP) program and the requested \$49 million for the Revolving Loan Program (RLP) appropriation. The legislation also included language

increasing the Small Government program to \$15 million and the Emergency Project program to \$3 million. The Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) and all other Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC) language remained unchanged from the previously introduced version.

2) Fund Balances

- a. SCIP grant – Members present reviewed email from OPWC Program Representative Kimberly Killian (dated 03-March-2011) that indicated that the District would have a sufficient balance to fund the Village of New Richmond, Sanitary Sewer Line Rehab Phase 2 project rather wait for than small government consideration.
 - b. Small Government projects – Three projects will be considered by the Small Government Capital Improvement Commission when it meets on Thursday, May 5, 2011 at 10:30am. They are from Felicity, New Vienna, and Waynesville. The New Miami project was not accepted because it had not been rated by the district. Projects from Clark Township and Morrow that were considered as back-up for consideration did not provide Auditor Certifications so they will not be accepted.
- 3) Butler County Bridge program – Butler County indicated that Kim Killian has questions regarding the PY 25 Bridge Program project. Because of discrepancies in figures for total construction costs, contingencies, engineering percentage calculations, and useful life certification she has asked that Butler County and the District provide clarification. After discussion, it was determined that Butler County should correct the Engineer's Statement of Cost and estimated useful life statement. Chris Wunnenberg moved that the once the corrections were made that the grant percentage of 54.4% remain as in the original project; David Spinney provided a second; ***All in favor, motion passed unanimously.*** It was further discussed that engineering percentages be more closely reviewed as we consider program year 26.

C. **Job Ready Sites (JRS)**

- 1) Update – it was reported that the next round of funding has not been established, but that the guidelines may be released in late spring/early summer.

D. **Clean Ohio Fund**

- 1) **Natural Resource Assistance Council (NRAC) / Greenspace** – the deadline for submission of applications to Chris Clingman is March 28, 2011.
- 2) **Clean Ohio Revitalization Fund (CORF) / Brownfields** – District #10 received one application from the City of Hamilton requesting grant assistance in the amount \$744,095 to address the Former Estate Stove Property located at 845 East Avenue in Hamilton, Butler County, Ohio. The goal of this project is to complete environmental cleanup, asbestos abatement, and building demolition to enable site redevelopment and expansion of an adjacent manufacturing facility. Since District #10 only has one project to consider it is not necessary for the Executive Committee to meet and rate and rank projects. Lori Libby indicated that she would coordinate sending the project details to regional economic development and business organization agencies seeking their input regarding the project. The Chair of the

Executive Committee then will have to prepare a cover letter documenting the public outreach effort and confirm the project is the district’s highest priority.

- E. **PY` 26/2012 and 2010 Census** – Lori Libby, District Administrative Liaison, indicated that she would update the District #10 Income Index that is used for Factor #8 of the Project Selection Rating System as soon as figures are available from the 2010 Census. Discussion followed regarding the timeframe for Program Year 26. Since no program guidelines have been released, it was assumed that the Rating Sheet and Membership Roster be approved and submitted to the OPWC. David Spinney moved that the Rating Sheet be approved and that Friday, September 30, 2011 be established as the deadline for submission of applications to the District #10 Liaison; Tom Yeager provided a second; **All in favor, motion passed unanimously.**

III. OTHER BUSINESS

- A. **Membership Roster and District Officers** – Members present reviewed a copy of the current membership roster and district officers. Lori Libby indicated that where vacancies exist, the appointing authorities should take action. Discussion followed regarding appointments of the Chair and Secretary of the 24-member Integrating Committee. David Spinney moved that the following appointments be made as Officers: Neil Tunison – Chair, Scott Brunka – Vice Chair, and Tom Yeager – Secretary. Kurt Seiler provided a second; **All in favor, motion passed unanimously.** Neil Tunison indicated that the Executive Committee would meet after the conclusion of this meeting in order to appoint officers.

B. CPMRA Administrative Costs

Lori Libby indicated that the following vouchers had been presented to Loretta Rokey for submission to the OPWC.

Voucher #1839-03, 09/01/10 to 09/30/10	=	\$ 5,629.51
Voucher #1839-04, 10/01/10 to 10/31/10	=	\$ 5,480.73
Voucher #1839-05, 11/01/10 to 11/30/10	=	\$ 5,480.73
Voucher #1839-06, 12/01/10 to 12/31/10	=	\$ 5,480.73
<u>Voucher #1839-07, 01/01/11 to 01/31/11</u>	=	<u>\$ 5,331.95</u>
Year-to-Date Total	=	\$38,517.91

She indicated that she and Loretta Rokey would notify the OPWC regarding the appointment of a new district chairperson.

IV. SCHEDULE NEXT MEETING and ADJOURN

It was agreed that the next meeting dates (if necessary) would be April 20, May 18, and/or June 15. All in favor, the meeting was adjourned at 8:18pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

(DRAFT, until approved)

*Lori B. Libby - Sr. Project Manager, CPMRA/Miami University
and Administrative Liaison, District #10 Public Works Integrating Committee*

District #10 Public Works Integrating Committee

16-Mar-2011

				INT	EXEC
Counties	WILKENS		SINGH		<input type="checkbox"/>
	SCHWIETERMAN		LEVENTRY		<input type="checkbox"/>
	SPINNEY		HERSHNER		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	YEAGER		BLOOM		<input type="checkbox"/>
	CURRY		RILEY		<input type="checkbox"/>
	LINKOUS		FRICKE		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	LAPENSEE		GULLEY		<input type="checkbox"/>
	TUNISON		WEBER		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Cities	ENGLE		HASKINS		<input type="checkbox"/>
	TADYCH		NICOLLS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	ROKEY		HOLBROOK		<input type="checkbox"/>
	PITTMAN		ELLERHORST		<input type="checkbox"/>
	REINSMITH		GHERMAN		<input type="checkbox"/>
	BLANKENSHIP		CURLISS		<input type="checkbox"/>
	SEILER		LUKAS		<input type="checkbox"/>
	BRUNKA		THOMPSON		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Townships	MASON		KNOOP		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	RICH		TERWILLEGER		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	RICHARDSON		VERGNE		<input type="checkbox"/>
Villages	SNELL		HOLLON		<input type="checkbox"/>
	ELLINGTON		ASHBA		<input type="checkbox"/>
	BATES		ELAINE		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Engineer	MANGER		GADBURY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Private	WUNNENBERG		ROSS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
District Liaison					

**District #10 PWIC
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
March 16, 2011
Warren County Engineer's Office**

MINUTES

The Executive Committee of District #10 met on March 16, 2011 after the District #10 Public Works Integrating Committee in order to appoint committee officers. The meeting was called to order by Chair Neil Tunison at at 8:19pm. The attached sign-in sheet indicates those in attendance at the meeting.

APPOINTMENT OF OFFICERS

Neil Tunison indicated that because he had been appointed as Chair of the Integrating Committee, the Executive Committee would need to appoint officers. Chris Wunnenberg moved that the following members be appointed as Officers of the District #10 Executive Committee:

David Spinney – Chair

Jeff Linkous – Vice Chair

Patti Bates – Secretary

Scott Tadych provided a second the motion; ***All in favor, the motion passed unanimously.***

David Spinney adjourned the meeting at 8:23pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

(DRAFT, until approved)

*Lori B. Libby - Sr. Project Manager, CPMRA/Miami University
and Administrative Liaison, District #10 Public Works Integrating Committee*



City of Milford

General Administration
831-4192
248-5096 Fax

745 Center Street, Suite 200, Milford, Ohio 45150 • www.milfordohio.org

May 24, 2011

Mr. Neil Tunison, Chair
District 10 Public Works Integrating Committee
105 Markey Road
Lebanon, Ohio 45036


Dear Mr. Tunison,

My name is Daniel Burke and I was hired as the City of Milford's Director of Finance in October, 2010. Prior to my employment with the City, we received the attached letter from Strand Associates outlining the project cost that we should request for a S.C.A.D.A. replacement project. On page 4 of the letter, the Strand personnel clearly recommend a construction budget of \$367,000 for this project. Unfortunately, during the application process we made an error and only requested \$307,000 for the construction portion of this project. After reviewing everything, it is clear that the error was simply an honest mistake and I have implemented some new internal review processes to prevent this type of error in the future.

I am writing to request a change in project cost for the SCADA replacement. Based on our original application, the O.P.W.C. awarded the City a 49% grant in the amount of \$210,000. I am writing to ask if the 49% could instead be applied to the correct project estimate of \$481,700. I have brought this error to the attention of Kimberley Killian at the O.P.W.C. and Lori Libby at the Center for Public Management. They both suggested that I check with you to see if we can recoup some or all of the O.P.W.C. grant that we lost by submitting the incorrect numbers.

As I mentioned previously, the attached letter dated August 4, 2010 clearly shows the correct budget figures. The letter documents that the City of Milford performed the appropriate due diligence related to this project and that we are not trying to adjust the numbers after the fact.

I appreciate your consideration of this request. Please let me know if the City of Milford can provide any additional information or clarification.

Sincerely,

Daniel Burke

Planning & Zoning
248-5093

Solid Waste &
Recycling
248-5092

Finance
576-5463

Income Tax
248-5082
248-5099 Fax

Building Dept.
248-5098

Utility Billing
248-5081

Wastewater
831-6982

Water
831-6819

Street/Service
831-7018

Non-Emergency

Police
248-5084
248-5089 Fax

Fire/EMS
831-7777
831-7786 Fax



The Ohio Public Works Commission
65 East State Street, Suite 312, Columbus Ohio 43215-4213

Commissioners

Merlin "Boots" Sheets - Chair
 Janine Conrad
 Michael Keenan
 William Morgan
 Dave Wondolowski

Director

Michael Miller

To: District Chairs and Liaisons

From: Michael Miller, Director

Date: May 20, 2011

Re: Program Year 26 Allocations and Program Guidelines

PROGRAM YEAR 26 ALLOCATIONS

During Program Year 26 the Ohio Public Works Commission will allocate a minimum of \$216,125,000 to the nineteen Public Works Districts for the State Capital Improvement, Revolving Loan Program and Local Transportation Improvement Programs. This figure includes anticipated bond proceeds and gasoline revenues, but does not include any unused balances from prior years being carried forward by the Districts. The State Capital Improvement Program will remain at \$150,000,000 per Article VIII Section 2 p of the Ohio Constitution, the Revolving Loan Program will allocate \$29,125,000 and the Local Transportation Improvement Program will allocate \$55,000,000. All allocations are subject to appropriation by the Ohio General Assembly.

Your preliminary allocation may be adjusted if program revenue supports additional allocations. With this in mind, we are requiring you to submit applications in the amount of 105% of your preliminary allocation to account for marginal increases in the preliminary allocations and projects being completed under budget. Districts should be prepared to submit additional projects in the event significant balances become available due to terminations or projects being completed under budget. In addition, you must provide the Commission a list of every project application received by the district, including its priority ranking, total score, and amount of funding requested.

PROGRAM YEAR 26 SCHEDULE

We are requiring that each District provide the Commission with the selection methodology to be used for the up-coming program year, their deadline for receiving applications from local communities, the schedule for project review and submission of recommendations to the Commission, and an updated roster of their members by August 31, 2011. Districts may submit recommended projects to the Commission as early as January, but no later than March 1, 2012 to allow time for the Small Government process. If your District is prepared to submit its

recommendations prior to January please contact your Program Representative. As in the past, district submissions will be reviewed and processed on a first-in, first-out basis. Our ability to quickly review district recommendations depends on fully documented and approvable applications being submitted. An early submittal will assure that Project Agreements can be executed and released on July 1, 2012.

PROGRAM CLARIFICATION

I have included with this email an advisory titled "Administration-26" in an effort to bring attention to some of the issues we have been encountering as we process district submissions.

APPLICATION

The Ohio Public Works Commission application has been revised in an effort to update its functionality. An electronic copy of the new application and instructions has been included with this email and will be available on our web site at www.pwc.state.oh.us. This is the only format available and we ask that all applicants use it.

We look forward to working with our local partners in implementing Program Year 26. Please do not hesitate to give me a call at 614.752.9343 or your Program Representative should you have questions or concerns

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

ADVISORY IV

ADMINISTRATION - PROGRAM YEAR 26: In reviewing projects recommended by the districts, the Commission has identified several areas of concern, which should be conveyed to applicants to avoid delay in processing and approving applications in future program years.

Readiness to Proceed: Projects with delayed schedules for engineering, bidding and construction will be questioned and possibly returned for re-submission in a future program year if they will not proceed during the current program year.

Generally, projects not scheduled to be substantially underway by June 30, 2013 will be rejected by the Commission.

Projects involving the Ohio Department of Transportation must use the ODOT "sale" date. All ODOT project schedules are confirmed with ODOT. ODOT projects with schedules that lend themselves to a future program year will be rejected by the Commission.

Project Schedule Extensions: Projects delayed for reasons which are beyond the control of the subdivision or could not be foreseen or anticipated may, at the discretion of the Commission, receive a schedule extension. Projects with delayed schedules that lend themselves to a future funding year will be terminated.

Farmland Preservation Review: The Governor's Executive Order 98-11V, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.

Engineering Costs: Engineering costs, as a percentage of construction costs, are closely reviewed. Justification for elevated engineering costs may be required. Actual engineering costs incurred above the budget line item contained in the project agreement are the **sole responsibility of the subdivision** and will not be credited to the local subdivision contribution. Any request to amend the project budget for engineering services must be approved in writing in advance by the Commission.

Eligible Project Costs/Disbursements: The Commission can only disburse funds for eligible project costs that are included in the project's scope of work **as defined in Appendix A of the project agreement**. Changes to the scope of work, including significant change orders, are the **sole responsibility of the subdivision** unless advance written approval of the District and Commission has been received

Maintenance Projects: Projects that do not repair or replace infrastructure and only maintain it in a "state of good repair" are not considered a "capital improvement" as defined by the Revised Code. Projects that substantially prolong the useful life via lining or epoxy coating of structures will be considered a "capital improvement".

Incomplete Applications: Missing or poorly documented application attachments result in extensive delays in their review and approval. Please stress thoroughness.

Public Improvements: All Commission funded work must be owned and maintained by the local subdivision. Work not on public property or right of way, including water and sewer laterals, are ineligible for Commission assistance.

Released: May 2011

Status: Ongoing

Distribution: District Committees/Liaisons

**OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION
STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
PROGRAM YEAR TWENTY-SIX (2012) ALLOCATIONS**

DISTRICT	TOTAL ALLOCATION	LTIP ALLOCATION	SCIP ALLOCATION	MINIMUM SCIP LOAN/ CREDIT (20%)	MAXIMUM SCIP GRANTS (80%)	RLP ALLOCATION (LOAN)
1	26,870,000	\$6,754,000	\$16,111,000	\$3,222,200	\$12,888,800	4,005,000
2	16,650,000	4,116,000	9,819,000	1,963,800	7,855,200	2,715,000
3	21,034,000	5,302,000	12,648,000	2,529,600	10,118,400	3,084,000
4	10,905,000	2,706,000	6,456,000	1,291,200	5,164,800	1,743,000
5	9,164,000	2,337,000	5,642,000	1,128,400	4,513,600	1,185,000
6	9,168,000	2,335,000	5,569,000	1,113,800	4,455,200	1,264,000
7	10,643,000	2,771,000	6,609,000	1,321,800	5,287,200	1,263,000
8	10,130,000	2,635,000	6,288,000	1,257,600	5,030,400	1,207,000
9	9,022,000	2,345,000	5,593,000	1,118,600	4,474,400	1,084,000
10	12,900,000	3,418,000	8,154,000	1,630,800	6,523,200	1,328,000
11	11,353,000	2,942,000	7,018,000	1,403,600	5,614,400	1,393,000
12	9,318,000	2,204,000	5,259,000	1,051,800	4,207,200	1,855,000
13	8,031,000	2,047,000	4,884,000	976,800	3,907,200	1,100,000
14	8,270,000	2,117,000	5,168,000	1,033,600	4,134,400	985,000
15	8,694,000	2,218,000	5,444,000	1,088,800	4,355,200	1,032,000
16	9,872,000	2,545,000	6,106,000	1,221,200	4,884,800	1,221,000
17	9,046,000	2,383,000	5,684,000	1,136,800	4,547,200	979,000
18	8,104,000	1,982,000	5,152,000	1,030,400	4,121,600	970,000
19	6,951,000	1,843,000	4,396,000	879,200	3,516,800	712,000
TOTALS	\$216,125,000	\$55,000,000	\$132,000,000	\$26,400,000	\$105,600,000	\$29,125,000

***Preliminary - final allocations determined on or about September 30, 2012.
Allocations based on 2000 Census Data.
All programs are subject to appropriation by the Ohio General Assembly.**



State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPORTANT: Please consult "Instructions for Financial Assistance for Capital Infrastructure Projects" for guidance in completion of this form.

Applicant	Applicant: _____	Subdivision Code: _____
	District Number: _____ County: _____	Date: _____
	Contact: _____ <small>(The individual who will be available during business hours and who can best answer or coordinate the response to questions)</small>	Phone: _____
	Email: _____	FAX: _____

Project	Project Name: _____		Zip Code: _____
	Subdivision Type	Project Type	Funding Request Summary
	<small>(Select one)</small>	<small>(Select single largest component by \$)</small>	<small>(Automatically populates from page 2)</small>
	1. County	1. Road	Total Project Cost: _____ .00
	2. City	2. Bridge/Culvert	1. Grant: _____ .00
	3. Township	3. Water Supply	2. Loan: _____ .00
4. Village	4. Wastewater	3. Loan Assistance/ Credit Enhancement: _____ .00	
5. Water (6119 Water District)	5. Solid Waste		
	6. Stormwater	Funding Requested: _____ .00	

District Recommendation (To be completed by the District Committee)

<u>Funding Type Requested</u> <small>(Select one)</small>	SCIP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
State Capital Improvement Program	RLP Loan - Rate: _____ % Term: _____ Yrs	Amount: _____ .00
Local Transportation Improvement Program	Grant:	Amount: _____ .00
Revolving Loan Program	LTIP:	Amount: _____ .00
Small Government Program	Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	Amount: _____ .00
District SG Priority: _____		

For OPWC Use Only

<u>STATUS</u>	Grant Amount: _____ .00	Loan Type: <input type="checkbox"/> SCIP <input type="checkbox"/> RLP
Project Number: C _____	Loan Amount: _____ .00	Date Construction End: _____
C _____	Total Funding: _____ .00	Date Maturity: _____
Release Date: _____	Local Participation: _____ %	Rate: _____ %
OPWC Approval: _____	OPWC Participation: _____ %	Term: _____ Yrs

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)

1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services

Preliminary Design:	_____	.00	
Final Design:	_____	.00	
Construction Administration:	_____	.00	
Total Engineering Services:	a.) _____	.00	_____ %
Right of Way:	b.) _____	.00	
Construction:	c.) _____	.00	
Materials Purchased Directly:	d.) _____	.00	
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.) _____	.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.) _____	.00	_____ %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.) _____	.00	

1.2 Project Financial Resources

Local Resources

Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.) _____	.00	
Local Revenues:	b.) _____	.00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.) _____	.00	
ODOT / FHWA PID: _____	d.) _____	.00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.) _____	.00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.) _____	.00	
CDBG:	g.) _____	.00	
County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development			
Other: _____	h.) _____	.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.) _____	.00	_____ %

OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)

Grant: _____ % of OPWC Funds	j.) _____	.00	
Loan: _____ % of OPWC Funds	k.) _____	.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.) _____	.00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.) _____	.00	_____ %
Total Financial Resources:	n.) _____	.00	_____ %

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 certifying all local resources required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Repair / Replacement or New / Expansion

2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replacement: _____ .00 _____ %
 2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion: _____ .00 _____ %
 2.3 Total Project: _____ .00 _____ %

3.0 Project Schedule

3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way Begin Date: _____ End Date: _____
 3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award Begin Date: _____ End Date: _____
 3.3 Construction Begin Date: _____ End Date: _____

Construction cannot begin prior to release of executed Project Agreement and issuance of Notice to Proceed. Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be requested in writing by project official of record and approved by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed.

4.0 Project Information

If the project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

4.1 Useful Life / Cost Estimate / Age of Infrastructure

Project Useful Life: _____ Years Age: _____ (Date built or date of last major improvement)
Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with seal or stamp and signature confirming the project's useful life indicated above and detailed cost estimate.

4.2 User Information

Road or Bridge: Current ADT _____ Year _____ Projected ADT _____ Year _____

Water / Wastewater: Based on monthly usage of 4,500 gallons per household; attach current ordinances.

Residential Water Rate Current \$ _____ Proposed \$ _____

Number of households served: _____

Residential Wastewater Rate Current \$ _____ Proposed \$ _____

Number of households served: _____

Stormwater: Number of households served: _____

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit.

B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit.

C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit.

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer (Person authorized in legislation to sign project agreements)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____

FAX: _____

E-Mail: _____

5.2 Chief Financial Officer (Can not also serve as CEO)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____

FAX: _____

E-Mail: _____

5.3 Project Manager

Name: _____

Title: _____

Address: _____

City: _____ State: _____ Zip: _____

Phone: _____

FAX: _____

E-Mail: _____

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box)

A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below.

A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of all local share funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter.

A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature.

A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant.

Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process. Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland.

Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form.

Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Signed

District 10 - PY 25 Application Issues:

- Many applications did not include *detailed* Cost Estimates that list line items and quantities with cost. This is a legislative requirement (OAC 164-1-16). Lump sum estimates are not sufficient.
- P.E. certified useful life statements were omitted.
- Construction/Contingency figures on engineer’s estimate did not match amount requested on Section 1.1 line items c) and f) of the application.
- Local share letters and loan repayment letters must identify the *actual* amount of local share or loan; a general indefinite statement is not acceptable. Also, the loan repayment letter must include the term requested for repayment of the loan.
- If the project map indicates potential impact on farmland, the applicant must include a farmland preservation letter prepared by the appropriate county or regional planning agency.
- We compare engineering costs submitted on applications with like projects to determine if the costs submitted are reasonable costs. The chart below shows the average engineering cost by project type from Rounds 23 and 24. As you can see, we typically see costs that are 15% or less.

Average Engineering Costs as a Percentage of Construction by Project Type						
	<u>Type 1</u>	<u>Type 2</u>	<u>Type 3</u>	<u>Type 4</u>	<u>Type 5</u>	<u>Type 6</u>
Round 23 SCIP	11.53%	11.67%	13.57%	14.35%	8.72%	15.10%
Round 24 SCIP	11.70%	12.76%	13.00%	14.74%	No projects	15.36%
	<u>Type 1</u>	<u>Type 2</u>				
Round 23 LTIP	11.18%	12.57%				
Round 24 LTIP	10.57%	12.99%				

- ODOT projects - If ODOT/FHWA is participating in a project, the ODOT portion needs to be included in the project description and project financial information, along with the ODOT PID #.

District-Wide Issues

- Please be sure the applicants are providing written project locations. The applicant’s directive to “See attached map” is not sufficient. The written project location is necessary to create an accurate project agreement.
- Streetscape beautification, aesthetic or decorative components, and landscaping beyond post construction soil stabilization are ineligible items.

Liaison Assistance/Role:

- Given the use of a “3 year pipeline” in District 10, how does a local government with a time-sensitive project not currently in the “pipeline” apply for Round 26 funding consideration?
- For PY 26, please send me all the applications that are received by the County Subcommittees regardless of the county’s priority ranking or opinion of these applications.
- Be sure to include contingency projects for Round 26 in case funds become available from project close outs. As stated in the previous bullet, I need copies of the applications so I can prepare project agreements should funds become available.
- Please be sure the applications contain all the necessary documentation prior to submitting to OPWC. I can send you a checklist if it would be helpful. Missing or poorly documented application attachments result in extensive delays in their review and approval.
- Workshops initiated and led by district liaisons have proven helpful to potential applicants, with completeness and quality of applications significantly improved. Programs conducted in other districts are available for review and consideration, should you be interested.

Project Name	
Jurisdiction	County

Infrastructure Type _____ 1 = Road _____ 3 = Water Supply & Distribution _____ 5 = Solid Waste Disposal
 _____ 2 = Bridge _____ 4 = Wastewater Collection & Treatment _____ 6 = Stormwater Systems

Additional Information

Demographic Information (from Capital Improvement Report):

Population (2000 Census)		% Low to Moderate Income	
Total # Households		% Poverty	
Median HH Income \$		% Unemployment	

1. **What is the remaining useful life of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired?**
 - 10 pts = less than 2 years
 - 7 pts = greater than 2 years and less than 4 years
 - 4 pts = greater than 4 years and less than 6 years
 - 2 pts = greater than 7 years

2. **How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the general health and welfare of the service area?**
 - 10 pts = significantly
 - 7 pts = moderately
 - 4 pts = minimally
 - 0 pts = no impact

3. **How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the public safety?**
 - 10 pts = significantly
 - 7 pts = moderately
 - 4 pts = minimally
 - 0 pts = no impact

4. **What is the total number of users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as household, traffic counts, etc. and equate to an equal measurement of persons.**
 - 10 pts = 2,000 or more people
 - 7 pts = 1,000 to 1,999 people
 - 4 pts = 500 to 1,000 people
 - 2 pts = less than 500 people

5. **If the proposed project is eligible for federal and/or state grants and loans, what % of the total project costs has been committed from federal and/or state grants and loans, other than Infrastructure Bond Funds?**
 - 0 pts = 90% or greater
 - 10 pts = 80% to 89%
 - 7 pts = 70% to 79%
 - 4 pts = 60% to 69%
 - 0 pts = less than 60%

6. **If the proposed project is not eligible for federal and/or state funds, what percentages of total costs have been committed from funding sources such as assessments, fees, in-kind contributions, other local public and private funds, etc.?**
 - 10 pts = more than 50%
 - 8 pts = 41% to 50%
 - 6 pts = 31% to 40%
 - 4 pts = 21% to 30%
 - 2 pts = 10% to 20%
 - 0 pts = less than 10%

7. **Will the proposed project generate revenue in the form of user fees or assessments?**
 - 1 pts = yes
 - 0 pts = no

8. **What is your Community income Index as shown on the attached Income Index table?**
 - 10 pts = below 60%
 - 8 pts = 61% to 70%
 - 6 pts = 71% to 80%
 - 4 pts = 81% to 90%
 - 2 pts = 91% to 100%
 - 0 pts = greater than 100%

9. **Does the proposed activity involve and benefit more than one jurisdiction?**
 - 10 pts = involves and benefits two or more counties
 - 5 pts = involves and benefits two or more jurisdictions within one county
 - 1 pts = involves only one jurisdiction, but may benefit others

10. **County Priority (for District Use only),**
 - up to 10 points

- Subtotal Points** =

11. **If OPWC funds are awarded, how soon would opening of bids occur?**
 - 1.2 multiplier, if within 6 months
 - 1.0 multiplier, if within 12 months X
 - 0.0 multiplier, if over 12 months, not acceptable in this funding round

12. **Point Total**
 #11, Sub-Total points (X) #12, Multiplier =

13. **Bonus Points (attach supporting documentation)**
 - 10 pts = Complete ban of the use and expansion of the use by formal action of a federal/state/local government agency or court
 - 5 pts = Partial ban of the use or prohibition of expansion without corrective action
 - 5 pts = Jobs created or retained due to the proposed project activity

14. TOTAL POINTS =
 Add #13, Point Total (+) #14, Bonus Points =

Please transfer #15, TOTAL POINTS, to top of the page.

				2000 Pop	1999 PCI	('2000 '1999) (Pop X PCI)	2000 X 1999 (NEW) Income Index	Points for #8
STATE OF OHIO					\$21,003			
DISTRICT #10					\$22,245			
CLINTON COUNTY				40,543	\$18,462	748,504,866	83.00%	4
027-00027	Clinton	ENG	ENGINEER'S OFFICE					4
027-00027	Clinton	COM	COMMISSIONERS/WSD					4
027-85792	Clinton	CWI	WILMINGTON CITY	11,921	\$17,346	206,781,660	77.98%	6
027-06908	Clinton	VBL	BLANCHESTER VILLAGE	4,220	\$17,112	72,212,700	76.93%	6
027-15406	Clinton	VCL	CLARKSVILLE VILLAGE	497	\$14,448	7,187,656	64.95%	8
027-48118	Clinton	VMA	MARTINSVILLE VILLAGE	440	\$13,288	5,846,720	59.74%	10
027-49896	Clinton	VMI	MIDLAND VILLAGE	265	\$13,591	3,601,615	61.10%	8
027-55748	Clinton	VNV	NEW VIENNA VILLAGE	1,294	\$13,966	18,072,004	62.78%	8
027-64360	Clinton	VPW	PORT WILLIAM VILLAGE	258	\$13,889	3,583,362	62.44%	8
027-69400	Clinton	VSA	SABINA VILLAGE	2,780	\$16,481	45,817,180	74.09%	6
027-00226	Clinton	TAD	ADAMS TOWNSHIP	1,901	\$18,469	35,109,569	83.03%	4
027-13974	Clinton	TCH	CHESTER TOWNSHIP	1,771	\$22,992	40,718,832	103.36%	0
027-15238	Clinton	TCL	CLARK TOWNSHIP	1,419	\$15,324	21,744,756	68.89%	8
027-31710	Clinton	TGR	GREEN TOWNSHIP	1,308	\$16,890	22,099,968	75.96%	6
027-38542	Clinton	TJE	JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP	1,036	\$16,279	17,072,244	74.08%	6
027-43064	Clinton	TLI	LIBERTY TOWNSHIP	775	\$17,977	14,707,175	85.31%	4
027-47670	Clinton	TMA	MARION TOWNSHIP	1,269	\$18,480	23,451,120	83.08%	4
027-66642	Clinton	TRI	RICHLAND TOWNSHIP	978	\$16,982	16,608,396	76.34%	6
027-78302	Clinton	TUN	UNION TOWNSHIP	3,008	\$19,035	57,257,280	85.57%	4
027-79772	Clinton	TVE	VERNON TOWNSHIP	2,188	\$18,363	40,178,244	82.55%	4
027-81144	Clinton	TWA	WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP	1,853	\$18,952	35,914,040	85.20%	4
027-82124	Clinton	TWE	WAYNE TOWNSHIP	1,137	\$14,773	10,887,701	66.41%	8
027-85820	Clinton	TWI	WILSON TOWNSHIP	581	\$22,517	13,082,377	101.22%	0
WARREN COUNTY				158,486	\$25,517	4,044,087,262	114.71%	0
165-00165	Warren	ENG	ENGINEER'S OFFICE					0
165-00165	Warren	COM	COMMISSIONERS/WSD					0
165-12168	Warren	CCA	CARLISLE CITY (part)	4,876	\$19,358	94,389,608	87.02%	4
165-28476	Warren	CFR	FRANKLIN CITY	11,396	\$17,910	204,102,360	80.51%	4
165-42364	Warren	CLE	LEBANON CITY	16,962	\$20,897	354,454,914	93.94%	2
165-48188	Warren	CMA	MASON CITY	22,016	\$29,109	640,863,744	130.86%	0
165-74076	Warren	CSP	SPRINGBORO CITY (part)	12,227	\$31,257	382,179,339	140.51%	0
165-10674	Warren	VBV	BUTLERVILLE VILLAGE	231	\$15,916	3,676,596	71.55%	6
165-18840	Warren	VCO	CORWIN VILLAGE	256	\$18,414	4,713,984	82.78%	4
165-34384	Warren	VHA	HARVEYSBURG VILLAGE	563	\$16,918	9,524,834	76.05%	6
165-46872	Warren	VMA	MAINEVILLE VILLAGE	885	\$24,054	21,287,790	108.13%	0
165-52374	Warren	VMO	MORROW VILLAGE	1,286	\$15,416	19,824,976	69.30%	8
165-63576	Warren	VPP	PLEASANT PLAIN VILLAGE	156	\$18,323	2,858,388	82.37%	4
165-73446	Warren	VSL	SOUTH LEBANON VILLAGE	2,538	\$16,779	42,585,102	75.43%	6
165-82418	Warren	VWA	WAYNESVILLE VILLAGE	2,558	\$24,539	62,770,762	110.31%	0
165-15700	Warren	TCC	DEARCREEK TOWNSHIP	8,747	\$30,996	271,122,012	139.34%	0
165-21238	Warren	TDE	DEERFIELD TOWNSHIP	25,515	\$30,178	769,991,670	135.66%	0
165-28490	Warren	TFR	FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP	9,947	\$20,413	203,048,111	91.77%	2
165-33068	Warren	TGA	HAMILTON TOWNSHIP	8,645	\$29,756	257,240,620	133.77%	0
165-33474	Warren	THR	HARLAN TOWNSHIP	3,240	\$21,300	69,012,000	95.75%	2
165-48216	Warren	TMA	MASSIE TOWNSHIP	498	\$17,430	8,680,140	78.36%	6
165-69988	Warren	TSA	SALEM TOWNSHIP	2,847	\$22,830	64,997,010	102.63%	0
165-77868	Warren	TTC	TURTLECREEK TOWNSHIP	12,114	\$20,632	249,936,048	92.75%	2
165-78610	Warren	TUN	UNION TOWNSHIP	2,230	\$20,468	45,643,640	92.01%	2
165-81690	Warren	TWS	WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP	1,855	\$24,872	46,137,560	111.81%	0
165-82300	Warren	TWA	WAYNE TOWNSHIP	4,436	\$23,720	105,221,920	106.63%	0
				DISTRICT PCI (weighted)	709,707	15,787,179,713		
				DISTRICT POPULATION	709,711			
				(4)				
					\$22,245			

				POP	PCI	POP x PCI	INCOME INDEX	2010 index	2000 index	diff.	
STATE OF OHIO				11,511,858	\$24,830						
DISTRICT #10 PWIC				792,776	\$27,300	21,643,156,519					
DRAFT											
017-00017	BUT	ENG/COM	BUTLER COUNTY	356,881	\$25,446		93.21%	2	2	0	
017-00275	BUT	SRW	SOUTHWEST WATER DISTRICT					2	2	0	
017-25970	BUT	CFF	Fairfield, city, Butler	42,268	\$26,889	1,136,544,252	98.49%	2	0	2	
017-33012	BUT	CHM	Hamilton, city, Butler	62,135	\$20,218	1,256,245,430	74.06%	6	6	0	
017-49840	BUT	CMI	Middletown, city, Butler & Warren	51,169	\$19,957	1,021,196,267	73.10%	6	4	2	
017-51310	BUT	CMO	Monroe, city, Butler & Warren	10,749	\$26,904	289,193,271	98.55%	2	0	2	
017-59234	BUT	COX	Oxford, city, Butler	22,608	\$13,325	301,251,600	48.81%	10	10	0	
017-77322	BUT	CTR	Trenton, city, Butler	10,724	\$21,469	230,233,556	78.64%	6	2	4	
017-38192	BUT	VJA	Jacksonburg, village, Butler	66	\$16,165	1,066,890	59.21%	10	10	0	
017-50540	BUT	VMI	Millville, village, Butler	751	\$23,490	17,640,875	86.04%	4	4	0	
017-55104	BUT	VNM	New Miami, village, Butler	2,633	\$16,452	43,318,116	60.26%	8	8	0	
017-71444	BUT	VSM	Seven Mile, village, Butler	727	\$27,748	20,172,805	101.64%	0	4	-4	
017-72998	BUT	VSO	Somerville, village, Butler	329	\$20,899	6,875,771	76.55%	6	8	-2	
017-25984	BUT	TFF	Fairfield, township, Butler	17,094	\$27,580	471,452,520	101.02%	0	0	0	
017-33250	BUT	THA	Hanover, township, Butler	8,878	\$28,976	257,248,928	106.14%	0	2	-2	
017-42672	BUT	TLE	Lemon, township, Butler	2,513	\$15,864	39,866,232	58.11%	10	0	10	
017-43050	BUT	TLI	Liberty, township, Butler	26,248	\$33,278	873,480,944	121.90%	0	0	0	
017-46340	BUT	TMA	Madison, township, Butler	9,945	\$26,572	264,258,540	97.33%	2	0	2	
017-50162	BUT	TMI	Milford, township, Butler	3,882	\$29,634	115,039,188	108.55%	0	0	0	
017-52080	BUT	TMO	Morgan, township, Butler	6,238	\$28,673	178,862,174	105.03%	0	2	-2	
017-59241	BUT	TOX	Oxford, township, Butler	2,497	\$29,027	72,480,419	106.32%	0	10	-10	
017-66096	BUT	TRE	Reily, township, Butler	3,221	\$24,515	78,962,815	89.80%	4	0	4	
017-68616	BUT	TRO	Ross, township, Butler	7,199	\$27,602	198,710,069	101.11%	0	0	0	
017-69498	BUT	TSC	St. Clair, township, Butler	5,154	\$22,293	114,898,122	81.66%	4	4	0	
017-82082	BUT	TWA	Wayne, township, Butler	4,529	\$28,932	131,033,028	105.98%	0	4	-4	
017-78246	BUT	TWC	West Chester, township, Butler	55,324	\$35,447	1,961,089,969	129.84%	0	0	0	
025-00025	CLE	ENG/COM	CLERMONT COUNTY	191,956	\$27,471		100.62%	0	0	0	
025-50176	CLE	CMI	Milford, city, Clermont	6,314	\$30,221	190,815,095	110.70%	0	0	0	
025-01742	CLE	VAM	Amelia, village, Clermont	3,850	\$22,720	87,473,122	83.22%	4	6	-2	
025-04150	CLE	VBA	Batavia, village, Clermont	1,652	\$24,488	40,454,176	89.70%	4	2	2	
025-06068	CLE	VBE	Bethel, village, Clermont	2,906	\$15,675	45,551,550	57.42%	10	8	2	
025-14226	CLE	VCH	Chilo, village, Clermont	82	\$16,555	1,357,510	60.64%	8	8	0	
025-26880	CLE	VFE	Felicity, village, Clermont	865	\$12,260	10,604,900	44.91%	10	10	0	
025-52416	CLE	VMO	Moscow, village, Clermont	240	\$18,169	4,360,560	66.55%	8	10	-2	
025-53956	CLE	VNE	Neville, village, Clermont	109	\$12,597	1,373,073	46.14%	10	8	2	
025-55384	CLE	VNW	Newtonsville, village, Clermont	347	\$19,735	6,848,045	72.29%	6	6	0	
025-55664	CLE	VNR	New Richmond, village, Clermont	2,916	\$20,279	59,133,564	74.28%	6	4	2	
025-59220	CLE	VOW	Owensville, village, Clermont	826	\$18,741	15,480,066	68.65%	8	8	0	
025-85288	CLE	VWI	Williamsburg, village, Clermont	2,646	\$18,381	48,636,126	67.33%	8	6	2	
025-04157	CLE	TBA	Batavia, township, Clermont	17,920	\$24,958	447,247,360	91.42%	2	4	-2	
025-28224	CLE	TFR	Franklin, township, Clermont	3,986	\$20,623	82,203,278	75.54%	6	8	-2	
025-31010	CLE	TGO	Goshen, township, Clermont	16,123	\$22,820	367,926,860	83.59%	4	4	0	
025-37716	CLE	TJA	Jackson, township, Clermont	2,960	\$23,504	69,571,840	86.09%	4	2	2	
025-49322	CLE	TMI	Miami, township, Clermont	39,267	\$34,584	1,358,017,958	126.68%	0	0	0	
025-51338	CLE	TMO	Monroe, township, Clermont	9,023	\$23,652	213,411,996	86.64%	4	4	0	
025-57960	CLE	TOH	Ohio, township, Clermont	2,711	\$21,789	59,069,979	79.81%	6	4	2	
025-62540	CLE	TPI	Pierce, township, Clermont	10,827	\$32,844	355,606,908	120.31%	0	0	0	
025-74825	CLE	TST	Stonelick, township, Clermont	5,407	\$26,758	144,680,506	98.01%	2	2	0	
025-76155	CLE	TTA	Tate, township, Clermont	6,688	\$24,471	163,662,048	89.64%	4	4	0	
025-78288	CLE	TUN	Union, township, Clermont	43,890	\$28,432	1,247,899,186	104.15%	0	0	0	
025-81130	CLE	TWS	Washington, township, Clermont	2,366	\$21,872	51,749,152	80.12%	6	6	0	
025-82110	CLE	TWA	Wayne, township, Clermont	5,167	\$23,755	122,742,085	87.01%	4	2	2	
025-85302	CLE	TWI	Williamsburg, township, Clermont	2,868	\$26,970	77,349,960	98.79%	2	4	-2	

	POP	PCI	POP x PCI	INCOME INDEX	2010 index	2000 index	diff.
STATE OF OHIO	11,511,858	\$24,830					
DISTRICT #10 PWIC	792,776	\$27,300	21,643,156,519				

DRAFT

ID	CLI	ENG/COM	COUNTY	POP	PCI	POP x PCI	INCOME INDEX	2010 index	2000 index	diff.
027-00027	CLI	ENG/COM	CLINTON COUNTY	42,820	\$22,340		81.83%	4	4	0
027-85792	CLI	CWI	Wilmington, city, Clinton	12,485	\$21,398	267,154,030	78.38%	6	6	0
027-06908	CLI	VBL	Blanchester, village, Clinton	4,363	\$18,348	80,052,324	67.21%	8	6	2
027-15406	CLI	VCL	Clarksville, village, Clinton	518	\$14,485	7,503,230	53.06%	10	8	2
027-48118	CLI	VMA	Martinsville, village, Clinton	447	\$14,302	6,392,994	52.39%	10	10	0
027-49896	CLI	VMI	Midland, village, Clinton	288	\$14,619	4,210,272	53.55%	10	8	2
027-55748	CLI	VNV	New Vienna, village, Clinton	1,344	\$15,244	20,487,936	55.84%	10	8	2
027-64360	CLI	VPW	Port William, village, Clinton	233	\$13,217	3,079,561	48.41%	10	8	2
027-69400	CLI	VSA	Sabina, village, Clinton	2,951	\$18,207	53,728,857	66.69%	8	6	2
027-00226	CLI	TAD	Adams, township, Clinton	2,584	\$24,517	63,351,928	89.80%	4	4	0
027-13974	CLI	TCH	Chester, township, Clinton	1,956	\$34,849	68,164,644	127.65%	0	0	0
027-15238	CLI	TCL	Clark, township, Clinton	1,739	\$21,262	36,974,618	77.88%	6	8	-2
027-31710	CLI	TGR	Green, township, Clinton	1,463	\$20,667	30,235,821	75.70%	6	6	0
027-38542	CLI	TJE	Jefferson, township, Clinton	1,181	\$20,592	24,319,152	75.43%	6	6	0
027-43064	CLI	TLI	Liberty, township, Clinton	722	\$25,876	18,682,472	94.78%	2	4	-2
027-47670	CLI	TMA	Marion, township, Clinton	1,236	\$24,117	29,808,612	88.34%	4	4	0
027-66642	CLI	TRI	Richland, township, Clinton	919	\$21,625	19,873,375	79.21%	6	6	0
027-78302	CLI	TUN	Union, township, Clinton	3,410	\$28,016	95,534,560	102.62%	0	4	-4
027-79772	CLI	TVE	Vernon, township, Clinton	2,286	\$23,055	52,703,730	84.45%	4	4	0
027-81144	CLI	TWA	Washington, township, Clinton	1,735	\$27,266	47,306,510	99.87%	2	4	-2
027-82124	CLI	TWE	Wayne, township, Clinton	688	\$25,633	17,635,504	93.89%	2	8	-6
027-85820	CLI	TWI	Wilson, township, Clinton	272	\$34,636	9,420,992	126.87%	0	0	0
<hr/>										
165-00165	WAR	ENG/COM	WARREN COUNTY	201,119	\$31,485		115.33%	0	0	0
165-12168	WAR	CCA	Carlisle, city, Warren & Mont.	5,724	\$20,694	118,450,710	75.80%	6	4	2
165-28476	WAR	CFR	Franklin, city, Warren	12,721	\$18,830	239,536,430	68.97%	8	4	4
165-42364	WAR	CLE	Lebanon, city, Warren	20,290	\$25,585	519,119,650	93.72%	2	2	0
165-48188	WAR	CMA	Mason, city, Warren	29,354	\$37,948	1,113,925,592	139.00%	0	0	0
165-74076	WAR	CSP	Springboro, city, Warren & Mont.	17,702	\$35,893	635,375,264	131.47%	0	0	0
165-10674	WAR	VBU	Butler, village, Warren	266	\$19,682	5,235,412	72.09%	6	6	0
165-18840	WAR	VCO	Corwin, village, Warren	567	\$25,523	14,471,541	93.49%	2	4	-2
165-34384	WAR	VHA	Harveysburg, village, Warren	683	\$20,641	14,097,803	75.61%	6	6	0
165-46872	WAR	VMA	Maineville, village, Warren	754	\$31,974	24,108,396	117.12%	0	0	0
165-52374	WAR	VMO	Morrow, village, Warren	1,901	\$24,039	45,698,139	88.05%	4	8	-4
165-63576	WAR	VPP	Pleasant Plain, village, Warren	174	\$20,934	3,642,516	76.68%	6	4	2
165-73446	WAR	VSL	South Lebanon, village, Warren	4,385	\$25,907	113,600,585	94.89%	2	6	-4
165-82418	WAR	VVA	Waynesville, village, Warren	3,003	\$24,726	74,252,178	90.57%	4	0	4
165-15700	WAR	TCC	Clearcreek, township, Warren	12,109	\$40,247	487,352,737	147.42%	0	0	0
165-21238	WAR	TDE	Deerfield, township, Warren	30,326	\$36,392	1,103,631,949	133.30%	0	0	0
165-28490	WAR	TFR	Franklin, township, Warren	11,106	\$25,360	281,644,515	92.89%	2	2	0
165-33068	WAR	THA	Hamilton, township, Warren	15,468	\$36,689	567,505,452	134.39%	0	0	0
165-33474	WAR	THR	Harlan, township, Warren	4,623	\$30,023	138,796,329	109.97%	0	2	-2
165-48216	WAR	TMA	Massie, township, Warren	776	\$35,832	27,805,632	131.25%	0	6	-6
165-69988	WAR	TSA	Salem, township, Warren	3,159	\$28,373	89,630,307	103.93%	0	0	0
165-77868	WAR	TTC	Turtlecreek, township, Warren	15,209	\$25,542	388,468,278	93.56%	2	2	0
165-78610	WAR	TUN	Union township, Warren	2,321	\$32,238	74,824,398	118.09%	0	2	-2
165-81690	WAR	TWS	Washington, township, Warren	2,799	\$29,389	82,259,811	107.65%	0	0	0
165-82306	WAR	TWA	Wayne, township, Warren	5,699	\$29,611	168,753,089	108.46%	0	0	0